

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 09/672,437             | HALLADAY ET AL.     |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Camie S Thompson       | 1774                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Camie S Thompson. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Edward Murphy. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 35 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see Examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 09/672,431         | 09/28/00    | James Halladay        | 8158                |

| EXAMINER    |              |
|-------------|--------------|
| C. Thompson |              |
| ART UNIT    | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1714        | 15           |

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Camei S. Thompson (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Ed Murphy (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview December 1, 2003

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: shim & laminated bearing

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed: applicant will submit JP 02-72232 translation w/ IDS: Dudek, Applicant will submit Jones 5,551,918 -

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the importance of the circumferential fiber is spiral. Applicant will incorporate compression & spiral fiber into Claim 1 in order to obtain rigidity. Applicant will amend claims 15, 21 + 30 as well to recite the spiral circumferential fiber. The JP 02-72232 reference does not disclose a spiral fiber nor does the Dudek reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-98)

Dec 1st, 2003